

NICK'S THESIS

1. DEFORMATION TO THE NORMAL CONE IN FORMAL GEOMETRY.

1.1. Construction of the deformation. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be inf-schematic (technical condition ensuring that IndCoh-pushforward exists) nil-isomorphism of laft-def prestacks. Recall that we have $T^*(\mathcal{X}) \in \text{Pro}(\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})^-)_{\text{laft}}^{\text{fake}}$.

By Serre duality and convergence of IndCoh(\mathcal{X}) we get

$$(1.1) \quad (\text{Pro}(\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})^-)_{\text{laft}}^{\text{fake}})^{\text{op}} \cong \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Definition 1.1. The object corresponding to $T^*(\mathcal{X})$ under the equivalence (1.1) is the tangent complex $T(\mathcal{X})$.

We will denote by $T(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})$ the fiber of the map $T(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow f^!T(\mathcal{Y})$. We will call $T(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})[1]$ the normal bundle to \mathcal{X} in \mathcal{Y} .

We also introduce the following analogs of total spaces of tangent bundles in formal geometry:

- Definition 1.2.**
- (1) $\text{Vect}_{\mathcal{X}}(T(\mathcal{X})) := \text{Maps}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, \mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge}$,
 - (2) $\text{Vect}_{\mathcal{X}}(T(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})) := (\text{Maps}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, \mathcal{X}) \times_{\text{Maps}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, \mathcal{Y})} \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge}$,
 - (3) $\text{Vect}_{\mathcal{X}}(T(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})[1]) := (\text{Maps}(k[a]/a^2, \mathcal{X}) \times_{\text{Maps}(k[a]/a^2, \mathcal{Y})} \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge}$, where a has homological degree 1.

The goal of this subsection is to construct $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{scaled}} \in \text{PreSt}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 / \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{laft-def}}$, such that

- (1) $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{scaled}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is inf-schematic nil-isomorphism,
- (2) the fiber of $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{scaled}}$ over $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$ coincides with f ,
- (3) the fiber of $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\text{scaled}}$ over $0 = \lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is the zero section.

We will follow [GRII]. The idea is to construct \mathbb{A}^1 -family of groupoids $\mathcal{R}_{\text{scaled}}^{\bullet} \in \text{PreSt}^{\text{laft-def}}$ deforming the groupoid $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}$ to $\text{Vect}_{\mathcal{X}}(T(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}$.

The construction is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{scaled}}^{\bullet} = (\text{Weil}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{Bifurc}^{\bullet}}(\mathcal{X} \times \text{Bifurc}^{\bullet}) \times_{\text{Weil}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{Bifurc}^{\bullet}}(\mathcal{Y} \times \text{Bifurc}^{\bullet})} (\mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1))_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^{\wedge},$$

for some \mathbb{A}^1 -family of groupoids $\text{Bifurc}^{\bullet} \in (\text{AffSch}^{\text{cl}})^{\text{op}}$.

Concretely, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$ we have

$$(1.3) \quad (\mathcal{R}_{\text{scaled}}^{\bullet})_{\lambda} = (\text{Maps}((\text{Bifurc}^{\bullet})_{\lambda}, \mathcal{X}) \times_{\text{Maps}((\text{Bifurc}^{\bullet})_{\lambda}, \mathcal{Y})} \mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{X}}^{\wedge}.$$

From this formula we see that $\text{Bifurc}^{\bullet} \in (\text{Sch}^{\text{cl,aff}})^{\text{op}}$ should be

$$\text{Spec}(k[u]) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \mapsto u} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon \mapsto -u} \end{array} \text{Spec}(k[u, \epsilon]/(u - \epsilon)(u + \epsilon)).$$

1.2. Digression: (lax)-equivariance. It turns out that $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{scaled}}$ carries a lax-equivariant structure with respect to the action of the monoid \mathbb{A}^1 (via multiplication). In this subsection we discuss generalities on (lax)-equivariance.

Let G be a monoid, C_1, C_2 be categories with an action of G . Suppose we have $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$. In this context we have a familiar notion of lax-equivariance:

Definition 1.3. Right-lax (left-lax) equivariant structure on Φ w.r.t. G is a homotopy coherent system of assignments

$$g \circ \Phi \rightarrow \Phi \circ g \quad (\Phi \circ g \rightarrow g \circ \Phi)$$

compatible with monoid structure.

We say that Φ is strictly equivariant if these maps are equivalences.

Let now \mathcal{G} be a monoid prestack. let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be functors

$$\text{AffSch}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$$

with a pointwise action of \mathcal{G} . Suppose we have a natural transformation $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$.

Definition 1.4. Datum of right-lax (left-lax, strict) equivariance on Φ w.r.t. \mathcal{G} is a compatible system of right-lax (left-lax, strict) equivariance structures on $\Phi(S) : \mathcal{C}_1(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2(S)$ for $S \in \text{AffSch}$.

Remark 1.5. When $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{X}$ is a prestack we can view Φ as $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{X})$, where we view \mathcal{C}_2 as a functor $\mathcal{C}_2 : \text{PreSt}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ via right Kan extension along $\text{AffSch}^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \text{PreSt}^{\text{op}}$.

We denote the category of right-lax (left-lax, strict-) equivariant Φ by $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{X})^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{right-lax}}}$ ($\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{X})^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{left-lax}}}$, $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{X})^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{lax}}}$).

Example. $\mathcal{C}_2 = C \otimes \text{QCoh}(-)$ with the trivial action of \mathcal{G} . In the case when $C = \text{Vect}$ this is the familiar notion of equivariant quasi-coherent sheaf.

Example. $\mathcal{C}_2 = C \otimes \text{IndCoh}(-)$ with the trivial action of \mathcal{G} .

Example. $\mathcal{C}_2 = \text{PreSt}_{/}$ with the trivial action of \mathcal{G} . Then for $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{PreSt}$ the element of $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{Y})^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{right-lax}}}$ is the data of a prestack $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ plus a map $\mathcal{X}_{pr} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{act}$ and higher compatibilities, where the source and the target are defined as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{pr} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{pr} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{act} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{act} & \mathcal{Y}. \end{array}$$

Lemma 1.6. The groupoid Bifurc^\bullet upgrades to an object $\text{Bifurc}^\bullet \in (\text{AffSch}_{/\mathbb{A}^1}^{\mathbb{A}^1_{\text{right-lax}}})^{\text{op}}$.

Corollary 1.7. The prestack $\mathcal{Y}_{scaled} \in \text{PreSt}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 / \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{left-def}}$ upgrades to an object of $((\text{PreSt}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 / \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{left-def}})^{\text{nil-iso}})^{\mathbb{A}^1_{\text{left-lax}}}$.

1.3. The special case. From now on let X be a smooth proper curve. In this subsection we specialize the above discussion to the following situation. Let $\mathcal{X} = S(Z) \times \text{Ran}$ for a sectionally left prestack $Z \rightarrow X_{dR}$. Here $S(Z)(T) := \text{Maps}_{X_{dR}}(T \times X_{dR}, Z)$ for any $T \in \text{AffSch}$.

As a result of the previous subsections we get a functor

$$\text{DefNorm} : (\text{PreSt}_{\text{left-def } S(Z) \times \text{Ran} /}^{\text{nil-iso}}) / \text{Ran} \rightarrow ((\text{PreSt}_{\text{left-def } S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1 /}^{\text{nil-iso}}) / \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1)^{\mathbb{A}^1_{\text{left-lax}}}$$

sending $S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ to \mathcal{Y}_{scaled} .

Post-composing DefNorm with the functor

$$((\text{PreSt}_{\text{left-def } S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1 /}^{\text{nil-iso}}) / \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1)^{\mathbb{A}^1_{\text{left-lax}}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1)}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{A}^1_{\text{left-lax}}}$$

sending $f : S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ to $f^! f_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$, we get

$$N : (\text{PreSt}_{\text{left-def } S(Z) \times \text{Ran} /}^{\text{nil-iso}}) / \text{Ran} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1)}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{A}^1_{\text{left-lax}}}.$$

The category $\text{IndCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ is dualizable, and therefore

$$\text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times \text{Ran} \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cong \text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}) \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}) \otimes \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1),$$

and

$$\mathrm{IndCoh}(Ran \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cong \mathrm{IndCoh}(Ran) \otimes \mathrm{IndCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \mathrm{IndCoh}(Ran) \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1),$$

Lemma 1.8. *For A, B symmetric monoidal DG categories, $M \in B\text{-mod}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{End}_B \text{ otimes } A(M \otimes A) \cong \mathrm{End}_B(M) \otimes A.$$

Then we can rewrite

$$N : (\mathrm{PreSt}_{\mathrm{laf}t\text{-def}}^{\mathrm{nil}\text{-iso}} S(Z) \times Ran) / Ran \rightarrow (\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{IndCoh}(Ran)}(\mathrm{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times Ran)) \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-left-lax}}.$$

1.4. Digression: \mathbb{A}^1 -equivariance and filtrations. Let C be a DG category. Let $C^{\mathrm{Fil}} := \mathrm{Maps}(\mathbb{Z}, C)$ be the category of filtered objects. Here \mathbb{Z} is viewed as an ordered set and hence a category.

Proposition 1.9. *There exists an equivalence*

$$C^{\mathrm{Fil}} \cong (C \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{G}_m},$$

where the action of \mathbb{G}_m on \mathbb{A}^1 is via multiplication.

Proof. (Sketch) Reduce to the case $C = \mathrm{Vect}$. Then the functor $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow C^{\mathrm{Fil}}$ is given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto (n \mapsto \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{F}(n \cdot \{0\})))^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. \square

Under this identification we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C \\ & \nearrow \mathrm{colim}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \uparrow \mathrm{Oblv}^{\mathrm{Fil}} & & \uparrow (\mathrm{Id} \otimes i_1^*) \circ \mathrm{Oblv} \\ \mathrm{Maps}(\mathbb{Z}, C) & \xrightarrow{=} & C^{\mathrm{Fil}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (C \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{G}_m} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{gr} & & \downarrow \mathrm{gr} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} \otimes i_0^* \\ C^{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{=} & C^{\mathrm{gr}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C^{\mathbb{G}_m}. \end{array}$$

Recall that we consider \mathbb{A}^1 as a monoid under multiplication.

Lemma 1.10. *The forgetful functor*

$$(C \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-left-lax}} \rightarrow (C \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^1))^{\mathbb{G}_m}$$

is fully faithful and its essential image identifies with $C^{\mathrm{Fil}, \geq 0} \subset C^{\mathrm{Fil}}$.

Lemma 1.11. *The forgetful functor*

$$C^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-left-lax}} \rightarrow C^{\mathbb{G}_m}$$

is fully faithful and its essential image identifies with $C^{\mathrm{gr}, \geq 0} \subset C^{\mathrm{gr}}$.

Remark 1.12. On $C^{\mathrm{Fil}, \geq 0} \subset C^{\mathrm{Fil}}$ the functor gr is conservative.

1.5. The special case: redux. Using the results of the previous subsection we rewrite the functor N as

$$N : (\mathrm{PreSt}_{\mathrm{laf}t\text{-def}}^{\mathrm{nil}\text{-iso}} S(Z) \times Ran) / Ran \rightarrow (\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{IndCoh}(Ran)}(\mathrm{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times Ran)))^{\mathrm{Fil}, \geq 0}.$$

Using Lemma 1.8 again we see that the target

$$(\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{IndCoh}(Ran)}(\mathrm{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times Ran)))^{\mathrm{Fil}, \geq 0} \cong (\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \otimes D(Ran))^{\mathrm{Fil}, \geq 0}.$$

Summarizing, we get

(1.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \otimes D(\text{Ran}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}}} & \text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \\
M := (-)^\dagger (-)_*^{\text{IndCoh}} \nearrow & \text{Oblv}^{\text{Fil}} \uparrow & & \text{Oblv}^{\text{Fil}} \uparrow \\
(\text{PreSt}_{\text{left-def}}^{\text{nil-iso}} S(Z) \times \text{Ran}) / \text{Ran} & \xrightarrow{N} & (\text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \otimes D(\text{Ran}))^{\text{Fil}, \geq 0} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}}} & (\text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))))^{\text{Fil}, \geq 0} \\
& \text{gr} \downarrow & & & \text{gr} \downarrow \\
& \text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \otimes D(\text{Ran}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}}} & \text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \\
\text{Sym}(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran} / -)) \searrow & \text{Tens} \uparrow & & \text{Tens} \uparrow \\
& \text{IndCoh}(S(Z)) \otimes D(\text{Ran}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}}} & \text{IndCoh}(S(Z))
\end{array}$$

2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT.

Let $Z \in \text{PreSt}_{/X_{dR}}$ be a sectionally left prestack. Along with horizontal sections $S(Z)$ we have the punctured sections prestack living over Ran^{untl} :

Definition 2.1. Informally, the fiber of punctured sections prestack $\mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}$ over $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\mathring{S}(Z)_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} = S(Z)|_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}.$$

Remark 2.2. We have a natural map $S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}} \rightarrow \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}$ given fiberwise by restriction.

The prestack $\mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}$ is not left so we introduce a left prestack

Definition 2.3. $\mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^\wedge := (\mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})_{S(Z) \times \text{Ran}_X^{\text{untl}}}^\wedge$.

By construction the morphism

$$r^{\text{untl}} : S(Z) \times \text{Ran}_X^{\text{untl}} \rightarrow \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^\wedge$$

is nil-isomorphism, hence pseudo-proper. Therefore r^{untl} admits a left adjoint $r^{\text{untl}*}$ satisfying projection formula.

Definition 2.4. We define the infinitesimal Hecke monad

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{inf}}(Z)_{\text{untl}} := (r^{\text{untl}})^\dagger (r^{\text{untl}})_* \in \text{Alg}(\text{End}_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}})}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}))).$$

Remark 2.5. The exact same constructions make sense for Ran^{untl} replaces by Ran . Denote the corresponding infinitesimal monad by $\mathcal{H}^{\text{inf}}(Z)$.

For any prestack \mathcal{Y} consider $p_{\mathcal{Y}, dR} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{dR}$. This is nil-iso, so $(p_{\mathcal{Y}, dR})^\dagger$ admits a left adjoint $(p_{\mathcal{Y}, dR})_*$ satisfying projection formula.

Definition 2.6. Denote the resulting monad $(p_{\mathcal{Y}, dR})^\dagger \circ (p_{\mathcal{Y}, dR})_*$ by $\text{Diff}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Remark 2.7. We have $\text{Diff}_{\mathcal{Y}}\text{-mod}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{Y})) \cong D(\mathcal{Y})$.

We also have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S(Z) \times \text{Ran}_X^{\text{untl}} & \xrightarrow{p_{S(Z), dR} \times \text{Id}} & S(Z)_{dR} \times \text{Ran}_X^{\text{untl}} \\
& \searrow r^{\text{untl}} & \nearrow \\
& \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^\wedge &
\end{array}$$

and therefore we have a map of monads

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{inf}}(Z)_{\text{untl}} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{S(Z)} \boxtimes \omega_{\text{Ran}_X^{\text{untl}}}.$$

Applying (symmetric monoidal!) $\Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}}$ we get a map

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}}(\mathcal{H}^{inf}(Z)_{untl}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{S(Z)} \in \text{Alg}(\text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z)))).$$

Theorem 2.1. *If $Z \in \text{PreSt}_{/X_{dR}}$ is sectionally laft, then (2.2) is an isomorphism.*

This Theorem is the main goal of the talk. We will follow the proof in [R].

Remark 2.8. It suffices to prove the isomorphism between the underlying objects in $\text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z)))$.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT.

3.1. Step 1: Reduction to the statement about tangent complexes. We want to employ results from the first section, therefore we reduce the statement to the non-unital one.

Recall that $\iota : Ran \rightarrow Ran^{untl}$ is universally homologically cofinal, hence

$$\Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}} \cong \Gamma_{c,Ran} \circ \iota^!$$

Note that $(\text{Id} \otimes \iota^!)(\mathcal{H}^{inf}(X)_{untl}) \cong \mathcal{H}^{inf}(Z)$. Hence we need to show that

$$\Gamma_{c,Ran}(\mathcal{H}^{inf}(Z)) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{S(Z)}$$

arizing by adjunction from

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{inf}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{S(Z)} \boxtimes \omega_{Ran}$$

is an isomorphism.

Recall the functor

$$M := (-)^!(-)_*^{\text{IndCoh}} : (\text{PreSt}_{\text{laft-def}}^{nil\text{-iso}} / Ran) \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))) \otimes D(Ran).$$

By definition the map (3.1) coincides with

$$M(\mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran}^{\wedge} \rightarrow S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran).$$

Chasing (1.4) we see that it suffices to show that

$$N((\mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran}^{\wedge} \rightarrow S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran))$$

is an isomorphism. Or, equivalently, that

$$\Gamma_{c,Ran} \circ N((\mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran}^{\wedge} \rightarrow S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran))$$

is an isomorphism. Since the filtrations are non-negative, the functors gr in (1.4) are conservative. Therefore it suffices to show that

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma_{c,Ran}(\text{Sym}(T(S(Z) \times Ran / -))) (\mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran}^{\wedge} \rightarrow S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran)$$

is an isomorphism in $\text{IndCoh}(S(Z))$.

However, by universal homological cofinality this is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad \Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}}(\text{Sym}(T(S(Z) \times Ran^{untl} / -))) (\mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran^{untl}}^{\wedge} \rightarrow S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran^{untl})$$

being an isomorphism. But since $\Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}}$ is symmetric monoidal it suffices to show that

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}}(T(S(Z) \times Ran^{untl} / \mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran^{untl}}^{\wedge})) \rightarrow \Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}}(T(S(Z) \times Ran^{untl} / S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran^{untl})).$$

So since $T(S(Z)_{dR} \times Ran^{untl}) \cong 0$ we reduced the main theorem to the following statement:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $Z \in \text{PreSt}_{/X_{dR}}$ be sectionally laft. Then*

$$\Gamma_{c,Ran^{untl}} \circ (r^{untl})^!(T(\mathring{S}(Z)_{Ran^{untl}}^{\wedge})) = 0.$$

3.3. Step 2: reduction of theorem 3.2 to statements about $\Theta(Z)$. Recall the definition of the antecedent of the tangent complex:

Definition 3.1. For $x : S \rightarrow S(Z)$, $S \in \text{AffSch}$ the sheaves

$$\mathbb{D}^{SV} \circ \text{Oblv}^{\text{fake}} \circ \text{ev}_x^\# T^* Z \in \text{IndCoh}(S \times X_{dR}),$$

where $\text{ev}_x : S \times X_{dR} \rightarrow Z$ is the section corresponding to x , assemble to $\Theta(Z) \in \text{IndCoh}(S(Z) \times X_{dR})$.

Here is the main property of this sheaf that we are going to use:

Proposition 3.2. $T(S(Z)) \cong (\text{id} \times p_{X_{dR}})_{*}^{\text{IndCoh}} \Theta(Z) \cong (\text{id} \times p_{X_{dR},*}) \Theta(Z)$, where $p_{X_{dR}} : X_{dR} \rightarrow \text{pt}$ and $p_X : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will consist of the following two assertions:

Proposition 3.3. Let $Z \in \text{PreSt}/X_{dR}$ be sectionally laft. Then

$$\Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}} / \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^{\wedge})) \in \text{IndCoh}(S(Z)) \otimes D(\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}})$$

lies in the essential image of $\text{id} \otimes (\iota_X)_!$, where $(\iota_X)_! : D(X) \rightarrow D(\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}})$.

Proposition 3.4. Under the isomorphism in Proposition 3.2 the map

$$\text{id} \otimes (\iota_X)^!(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}} / \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^{\wedge})) \rightarrow \text{id} \otimes (\iota_X)^!(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}})) \cong T(S(Z)) \boxtimes \omega_X$$

identifies with

$$\Theta(Z) \rightarrow (\text{id} \otimes (p_X)^!) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes p_{X_{dR},*}) \Theta(Z)$$

given by adjunction.

We now deduce the proof of Theorem 3.2 from these two propositions. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}} / \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^{\wedge})) & \longrightarrow & (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}})) \\ \cong \uparrow_{\text{Pr. 3.3}} & & \uparrow \\ (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes (\iota_X)^!)(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}} / \mathring{S}(Z)_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}^{\wedge})) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes (\iota_X)^!)(T(S(Z) \times \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}})) \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.4 the lower composition identifies with

$$(3.5) \quad (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, X}) \Theta(Z) \rightarrow (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, X}) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)^!) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z) \rightarrow (\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})^!) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z).$$

But counit of the adjoint pair $(\Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}, (p_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})^!)$ is identity, hence it suffices to show that the composition of the last map of (3.5) with

$$(\text{Id} \otimes \Gamma_{c, \text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}}) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})^!) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z) \rightarrow (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z)$$

is an isomorphism. This composition identifies with

$$(\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z) \rightarrow (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_{\text{Ran}^{\text{untl}}})^!) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z) \rightarrow (\text{Id} \otimes (p_X)_{dR,*}) \Theta(Z),$$

which is isomorphism by adjunction axioms. Hence we proved Theorem 3.2 and therefore Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

- [GRII] "A study in derived algebraic geometry Volume II: Deformations, Lie theory and formal geometry" D. Gaitsgory, N. Rozenblyum
[R] "Connections on moduli spaces and infinitesimal Hecke modifications" N. Rozenblyum